

**REVIEW OF FISH SPECIES
INTRODUCED INTO THE GREAT
LAKES, 1819-1974**



Great Lakes Fishery Commission

TECHNICAL REPORT No. 45

The Great Lakes Fishery Commission was established by the Convention on Great Lakes Fisheries between Canada and the United States, which was ratified on October 11, 1955. It was organized in April 1956 and assumed its duties as set forth in the Convention on July 1, 1956. The Commission has two major responsibilities: first, develop coordinated programs of research in the Great Lakes and, on the basis of the findings, recommend measures which will permit the maximum sustained productivity of stocks of fish of common concern; second, formulate and implement a program to eradicate or minimize sea lamprey populations in the Great Lakes.

The Commission is also required to publish or authorize the publication of scientific or other information obtained in the performance of its duties. In fulfillment of this requirement the Commission publishes the Technical Report Series, intended for peer-reviewed scientific literature, and Special Publications, designed primarily for dissemination of reports produced by working committees of the Commission. Technical Reports are most suitable for either interdisciplinary review and synthesis papers of general interest to Great Lakes fisheries researchers, managers, and administrators or more narrowly focused material with special relevance to a single but important aspect of the Commission's program. Special Publications, being working documents, may evolve with the findings of and charges to a particular committee. Sponsorship of Technical Reports or Special Publications does not necessarily imply that the findings or conclusions contained therein are endorsed by the Commission.

COMMISSIONERS

Canada

P. S. Chamut
J. C. Davis (nominee)
A. S. Holder (nominee)
H. A. Regier

United States

W. P. Horn
W. M. Lawrence
J. M. Ridenour
C. Ver Duin

SECRETARIAT

C. M. Fetterolf, *Executive Secretary*
A. K. Lamsa, *Assistant Executive Secretary*
R. L. Eshenroder, *Senior Scientist for Fishery Resources*
M. A. Ross, *Fishery Biologist*
B. S. Staples, *Administrative Officer*
R. E. Koerber, *Word Processing Supervisor*
A. B. Broda, *Secretary*

REVIEW OF FISH SPECIES INTRODUCED INTO THE GREAT LAKES, 1819-1974¹

by

LEE EMERY²
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Great Lakes Fishery Laboratory
1451 Green Road
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 45

Great Lakes Fishery Commission
1451 Green Road
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48 105

April 1985

1 Contribution 630, Great Lakes Fishery Laboratory

2 Present address: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Program Operations-

CONTENTS

Abstract	1
Introduction	1
Successful Introductions	4
Alewife	4
Sea Lamprey	5
Chinook Salmon	6
Rainbow Trout	6
Goldfish	7
Common Carp	7
Brown Trout	8
Rainbow Smelt	8
Mosquitofish	9
Redear Sunfish	10
Orangespotted Sunfish	10
Coho Salmon	10
Oriental Weatherfish	11
Margined Madtom	11
Kokanee	12
White Perch	12
Pink Salmon	13
Unsuccessful Introductions	14
American Shad	14
American Eel	15
Arctic Char	15
Atlantic Salmon	16
German Whitefish	16
Striped Bass	17
Arctic Grayling	17
Cutthroat Trout	17
Tench	18
Chain Pickerel	18
Mountain Whitefish	19
Bullhead Minnow	19
Masu Salmon	19
White Catfish	20
Chum Salmon	20
Alaska Blackfish	20
Grass Carp	20
Acknowledgments	21
References	21

ABSTRACT

This review is based on an extensive literature search, combined with updated information obtained from biologists, and unpublished reports from private, state, and federal organizations throughout the Great Lakes basin. The chronological review lists 34 species of fishes in 13 families that were introduced into the basin from 1819 to 1974. The Salmonidae and Cyprinidae are best represented, contributing 14 and 5 of the species, respectively. The list is divided into successful and unsuccessful introductions; each species is briefly described and information about its entry into the basin and present status is given. About half of the introductions have been successful (i.e., the fish have reproduced and created viable, self-sustaining populations). Some of the successful introductions were disastrous in terms of damage inflicted on native populations (e.g., the effect of the sea lamprey, *Petromyzon marinus*, on populations of lake trout, *Salvelinus namaycush*, and lake whitefish, *Coregonus clupeaformis*), but others yielded highly favorable results (e.g., the extraordinary sport fisheries created by introductions of coho salmon, *Oncorhynchus kisutch*, and chinook salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*).

INTRODUCTION

The Great Lakes are among the largest freshwater lakes in the world. The abundant and diverse shallow- and deep-water habitats in the basin accommodate a wide variety of fish species (Hubbs and Lagler [1970] listed 175). Because the lakes are so young geologically, many ecological niches were available and proved to be exceptionally suitable for some introduced fishes. Although many of the species were also introduced into other waters of the United States (Van Oosten 1957; Gottschalk 1967; Stroud 1975) and North America (Lachner et al. 1970), those previously reported for the Great Lakes were incomplete lists identified by state (Fukano et al. 1964; Holcomb 1964; Latta 1974), or lake (Berst and Spangler 1973; Hartman 1973; Lawrie and Rahrer 1973; Wells and McLain 1973; Crossman and Van Meter 1979), or were identified as being in the basin on the basis of a generalized geographic approach, without regard to chronology of appearance (Bailey and Smith 1981).

I document chronologically the introductions of the 34 species (designated by scientific and common names in Table 1) into the Great Lakes basin and define their current status. The list includes species that were either purposefully or accidentally introduced, or that invaded the basin from another watershed. The list also includes four other species (American eel, Arctic grayling, Atlantic salmon, and chain pickerel) that were present in parts of the basin. These four species were included because considerable efforts were made to expand their

TABLE 1. Chronological list of fishes introduced into the Great Lakes basin,

Common name ^a	Scientific name	Family	Year of		Lake or drain- age ^d
			first intro- duction ^b	suc- cess ^c	
Alewife	<i>Alosa pseudoharengus</i>	Clupeidae	1819(?)	Yes	All
Sea lamprey	<i>Petromyzon marinus</i>	Petromyzontidae	1829(?)	Yes	All
American eel	<i>Anguilla rostrata</i>	Anguillidae	1829(?)	No	-
American shad	<i>Alosa sapidissima</i>	Clupeidae	1870	No	-
Arctic char	<i>Salvelinus alpinus</i>	Salmonidae	1871	No	-
Atlantic salmon	<i>Salmo salar</i>	Salmonidae	1873	No	-
Chinook salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>	Salmonidae	1873'	Yes	All
Rainbow trout	<i>Salmo gairdneri</i>	Salmonidae	1876	Yes	All
German whitefish	<i>Coregonus maraena</i>	Salmonidae	1877	No	-
Goldfish	<i>Carassius auratus</i>	Cyprinidae	1878(?)	Yes	All
Striped bass	<i>Morone saxatilis</i>	Percichthyidae	1878	No	-
Common carp	<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	Cyprinidae	1879(?)	Yes	All
Brown trout	<i>Salmo trutta</i>	Salmonidae	1883	Yes	All
Arctic grayling	<i>Thymallus arcticus</i>	Salmonidae	1889	No	-
Cutthroat trout	<i>Salmo clarki</i>	Salmonidae	1895	No	-
Tenth	<i>Tinca tinca</i>	Cyprinidae	1898	No	-
Rainbow smelt	<i>Osmerus mordax</i>	Osmeridae	1906'	Yes	All
Chain pickerel	<i>Esox niger</i>	Esocidae	1907	No	-
Mountain whitefish	<i>Prosopium williamsoni</i>	Salmonidae	1920	No	-
Mosquitofish	<i>Gambusia affinis</i>	Poeciliidae	1923	Yes	M,E
Bullhead minnow	<i>Pimephales vigilax</i>	Cyprinidae	1927(?)	No	-
Redear sunfish	<i>Lepomis microlophus</i>	Centrarchidae	1928(?)	Yes	Inland
Masu salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus masou</i>	Salmonidae	1929	No	-
Orangespotted sunfish	<i>Lepomis humilis</i>	Centrarchidae	1929	Yes	E
Coho salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	Salmonidae	1933(?) ^e	Yes	All
Oriental weatherfish	<i>Misgurnus anguillicaudatus</i>	Cobitidae	1939(?)	Yes	H
White catfish	<i>Ictalurus catus</i>	Ictaluridae	1939(?)	No	-
Chum salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus keta</i>	Salmonidae	1945	No	-
Margined madtom	<i>Noturus insignis</i>	Ictaluridae	1947(?) ^e	Yes	Inland
Kokanee	<i>Oncorhynchus nerka</i>	Salmonidae	1950	Yes	H
White perch	<i>Morone americana</i>	Percichthyidae	1950(?)	Yes	O,E,H
Alaska blackfish	<i>Dallia pectoralis</i>	Umbridae	1956	No	-
Pink salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus gorbuscha</i>	Salmonidae	1956	Yes	All
Grass carp	<i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i>	Cyprinidae	1974(?)	No	-

a--Common and scientific names follow Robins (1980) except for the Japanese Masu salmon and the

European German whitefish

b--Question marks indicate that the year of introduction is uncertain

c--Now produce self-sustaining populations

d--Michigan (M), Huron (H), Erie (E), and Ontario (O)

e--Later introductions are credited with establishing the species

limited ranges to additional lakes in the basin. Although considerable effort was made to expand the range of three other native species (lake whitefish, *Coregonus clupeaformis*, walleye, *Stizostedion vitreum vitreum*, and brook trout, *Salvelinus fontinalis*), they are not included in the list because they were naturally present in many areas throughout the basin. Introductions of three hybrids-splake (♀ lake trout x ♂ brook trout), tiger muskellunge (♀ muskel-

lunge, *Esox masquinongy* x ♂ northern pike, *Esox lucius*), tiger trout (♀ brown trout x ♂ brook trout)-as well as palomino and golden trout (which are genetic varieties of the rainbow trout) were arbitrarily excluded from the list. Also excluded were miscellaneous introductions (e.g., various species of flounder, piranha and tilapia, and ornamental aquarium fishes) that would be unable to survive because of low winter temperatures or other physiological stressors (Anonymous 1976; Stroud 1976; Bailey and Smith 1981; Ver Duin 1984a).

The impetus for the purposeful introductions of fish into the Great Lakes was created by the decline of commercial food fishes along the Atlantic Coast (e.g., American shad and Atlantic salmon) and the decline of commercial species such as lake whitefish and lake trout in the Great Lakes. In response to these problems, the U.S. Fish Commission was created in 1871, marking the beginning of organized federal investigations into fisheries and fish propagation (Baird 1874; McDonald 189 1).

The introduction of the American shad into the Great Lakes in 1870 marked the beginning of an era of fish culture and development by the U.S. Fish Commission and various fishery agencies of states adjoining the Great Lakes. Their efforts were directed toward the redistribution of endemic fishes and introductions of species from Europe and various regions of North America (Leonard 1979ab).

Many introductions during the 1870s (e.g., American eel, American shad) had little chance of success because environmental, physiological, and ecological factors that limit survival were not adequately considered, and some fish were released into environments that were totally unsuitable for establishing self-sustaining populations. In addition, sentiments began to shift against the introduction of species from other countries. For example, by the late 1890s the introduction of common carp was considered a serious problem, and the importation of fish from foreign countries was severely curtailed between 1900 and 1950 (Radonski et al. 1981). In an attempt to prevent a recurrence of the "common carp mistake," introductions in 1900-50 consisted primarily of transplanted North American species.

Ten fish species were introduced or reintroduced between 1950 and 1974. Five of these (Alaska blackfish, Atlantic salmon, chinook salmon, coho salmon, and kokanee salmon) were introduced to develop sport fisheries, three (pink salmon, grass carp, and the margined madtom) were introduced accidentally, and two (white perch and the orangespotted sunfish) were invaders that extended their ranges naturally from waters outside the basin through man-made canals or other access routes.

Although some of these introductions severely disturbed biological equilibria in the Great Lakes basin, others created spectacular new sport fisheries. Still others, like the pink salmon, are relatively recent additions to the basin and whose ultimate effects are not yet known.

The annotated list that follows is divided into two general categories-successful and unsuccessful introductions. Successful introductions are those that produced offspring that, in turn, sustained a population. The species have been listed chronologically (from earliest to most recent introductions) for each category. The total list contains 34 species divided among 13 families of fishes

introduced between 1819 and 1974 (Table 1); no additional introductions were reported in 1975-84. The Salmonidae and Cyprinidae were best represented, contributing 14 and 5 species, respectively.

SUCCESSFUL INTRODUCTIONS

The 17 species described here were either accidental or purposeful introductions; all have developed small to extremely large self-sustaining populations. Some of these introductions (e.g., that of the sea lamprey) disrupted the ecological community so severely that they depleted certain native fishes (e.g., lake trout and lake whitefish); this depletion, in turn, caused severe economic problems for commercial fisheries dependent on the species and began an era of costly lamprey control and stocking of hatchery-reared yearling lake trout.

Some other introductions (e.g., various salmonids), especially in Lake Michigan, created spectacular new and valuable sport fisheries and helped to control extremely abundant populations of alewives.

ALEWIFE

Alosa pseudoharengus (Wilson). Alewives are known to have colonized Lake Ontario by 1873, when they were first sighted in the lake (Wright 1892, Aron and Smith 1971, Scott and Crossman 1973), but much uncertainty exists as to whether they were first stocked inadvertently with American shad (fry of the two species are difficult to separate) in 1870 by the U.S. Fish Commission (Bean 1884, Miller 1957; Bailey and Smith 1981), or migrated from the Hudson River drainage into the lake through the Erie barge canal system (Smith 1970). A section of the Erie Canal between Lake Ontario (at Oswego, New York) and New York City (Atlantic Ocean) opened in 1819 and is most likely the route used by the fish to enter the lake (Smith 1970, Aron and Smith 1971). Miller (1957) did not rule out the use of the St. Lawrence River as a possible entry route, but it is generally considered unlikely because of the abundance of large piscivores such as Atlantic salmon and lake trout (Aron and Smith 1971). Alewives, like the lamprey, then could not enter other Great Lakes from Lake Ontario until the Erie and Welland canals were completed in 1825 and 1829, respectively. They were first reported in Lake Erie in 1931 (Dymond 1932) and then spread rapidly into the other lakes (Miller 1957).

Alewife populations expanded rapidly in some lakes because the habitat was suitable and predators were too few to curb their expansion (lake trout were extirpated in all lakes except Superior by the 1960s). In some years, massive die-offs of alewives fouled recreational beaches and clogged municipal and industrial water intakes. Lake Michigan, for example, experienced a major die-off in 1967 (Brown 1968) and summer die-offs have occurred sporadically since 1890 in Lake Ontario (Rathbun 1895; Graham 1956). The alewife has been blamed for excessive competition with, and suppression of coregonines in all the Great Lakes (except Lake Superior), and yellow perch, *Perca flavescens*,

emerald shiners *Notropis atherinoides*, and rainbow smelt in Lake Michigan (Smith 1968a, 1970; Wells and McLain 1973; Wells 1977; Crowder 1980).

The abundance of alewives has fluctuated widely over the years in each lake. Some fishery biologists believe that such fluctuations have been caused by annual differences in spawning success (as related to food supplies and population densities), predation by introduced trout and salmon, or low-temperature stress during particularly harsh winters (Brown 1972, 1984; Colby 1973; Stewart et al. 1981).

In the early 1960s and 1970s, a commercial fishery was centered on alewives for use as fertilizer and animal feeds, but due to the high cost of production, low market value, and unacceptable levels of contaminants in some fish, there was little demand (Ragotzkie 1974). Despite large harvests from some lakes (e.g., about 22,000 metric tons from Lake Michigan in 1977), it appeared that commercial fishing had little effect on populations in the basin (Smith 1968b).

SEA LAMPREY

Petromyzon marinus (Linnaeus). It is not known when the sea lamprey first entered the Great Lakes basin. Before 1900, the sea lamprey was known only from Lake Ontario. Whether lampreys first recorded there in the 1830s (Lark 1973) were native (glacial relicts) or canal immigrants from the Atlantic drainage is not certain (Christie 1973). Sea lampreys are believed to have entered Lake Ontario through the Erie Canal (the same route used by the alewife), which opened to the Atlantic Ocean in 1819 (Aron and Smith 1971). Niagara Falls formed a natural barrier that prevented sea lampreys from migrating up the system from Lake Ontario until the Erie and Welland canals were completed. The Welland Canal is believed to be the main route used by sea lampreys migrating into Lake Erie (Trautman 1957; Lawrie 1970; Aron and Smith 1971), although they could have entered the lake through the Erie Canal as well, but there is no evidence for or against this hypothesis. It has also been suggested that the sea lamprey might have been accidentally introduced into the Great Lakes (as ammocetes) by bait fisherman (Tibbles 1975), inadvertently with early plantings of elvers, or as adults carried in ballast water of upbound Atlantic Ocean vessels (Lamsa et al. 1980).

Sea lampreys were first reported in Lake Erie in 1921 (Dymond 1922). However, they never became abundant because the water was warm and most spawning tributaries were unsuitable (Applegate and Moffett 1955; Trautman 1957, Hartman 1973). Sea lampreys became common in Lakes Michigan and Huron during the 1930s. By the 1940s, they had seriously depleted populations of lake trout and some other species in lakes Huron and Michigan and eastern Lake Superior (Smith 1968a, 1972; Smith and Tibbles 1980).

After years of extensive research on the life cycle of the sea lamprey (Applegate 1950) and methods of control, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, in the 1950s, tested and found a selective chemical toxicant, 3-trifluoromethyl& nitrophenol (TFM), that kills the stream-dwelling larvae before they transform

and move downstream to the lakes (Moffett 1958; Baldwin 1968). Control of the number of lampreys in the Great Lakes, requires repeated treatments of tributary streams with TFM (Smith and Tibbles 1980). This highly successful toxicant has reduced sea lamprey populations to about 10% of their pretreatment levels in the upper Great Lakes (Crowe 1975).

After 1971 sea lamprey control was extended to Lake Ontario, where the parasite has also seriously reduced fish populations (Christie 1974). Continued use of chemical lampricides and perhaps other control methods - e.g., physical barriers, sterilants, attractants, repellents, and other biological controls-will be required throughout the basin (Smith 1979; Lamsa et al. 1980; Smith and Tibbles 1980).

CHINOOK SALMON

Oncorhynchus tshawytscha (Walbaum). Chinook salmon were first planted in the Great Lakes in 1873 (Parsons 1973) and were the first of several species of Pacific salmon to be stocked in the basin. Various state agencies planted chinook salmon in each of the lakes except Superior. Between 1873 and 1933 many serious attempts were made to establish chinook salmon in the lakes, but all introductions failed.

In 1967 chinook salmon were reintroduced into the basin by the State of Michigan (Parson 1973). The objectives of introducing chinook and coho salmon and other salmonids were (1) to re-establish a multispecies complex that had been several disrupted by man, exotic invasions (e.g., alewife, sea lamprey), and other factors; (2) to use the expanding forage base, especially alewives in Lake Michigan; and (3) to develop a sport fishery (Tody and Tanner 1966; Great Lakes Fishery Commission 1967; Carter 1968).

In 1967-84 millions of chinook salmon were stocked annually. The fish grew well, ate alewives, and provided an important sport fishery in Lakes Michigan and Huron. Although some natural reproduction has been recorded, the sport fishery depended almost entirely on stocking.

RAINBOW TROUT

Salmo gairdneri Richardson. Rainbow trout were first stocked in the Great Lakes in a tributary to Lake Huron in 1876 (Smedley 1938). They were first reported in the lakes in 1895 and 1896, when individual fish were captured on two occasions by commercial fishermen in Lake Superior (Whitaker et al. 1897). It was not long before self-sustaining, resident rainbow trout populations became widely distributed in colder tributaries and inshore waters of Lakes Michigan, Huron, and Superior. Early plantings consisted primarily of progeny of nonmigratory rainbow trout until the late 1890s, when some hatcheries replaced their brood stock with anadromous (steelhead) trout from the West Coast (MacCrimmon and Gots 1972).

In the years after the initial stocking in 1876, millions of rainbow trout were stocked in the Great Lakes. Michigan, in fact, continued stocking rainbow trout

annually after the late 1800s. As an example of the magnitude of the stocking effort, Michigan plantings averaged nearly 2 million fish annually from 1880 to 1961 (Holcomb 1964), and almost 1 million fish annually from 1962 to 1981.

During the 1960s and 1970s a large steelhead trout sport fishery developed in tributaries to Lakes Superior, Michigan, and Huron. From 1970 to 1983 the estimated sport catch of steelheads (including rainbow trout) in Michigan exceeded 300,000 fish annually (G. Jamsen, Michigan Department of Natural Resources, personal communication). The continuation of quality sport fishing for rainbow trout in the Great Lakes appeared to be dependent on sustained stocking to augment natural reproduction.

GOLDFISH

Carassius auratus (Linnaeus). Specific introductions of goldfish into the basin are unrecorded. Their occurrence could be the result of (1) direct stocking many years ago by the Great Lakes states, (2) the escape of goldfish from private ponds, or (3) the release of aquarium goldfish by persons unknown.

It is also difficult to determine when goldfish were first introduced into North America (McCrimmon 1968; Scott and Crossman 1973). One of the earliest introductions was in 1878, when the U.S. Fish Commission received a shipment (Smith 1924; Hodge and Derham 1926; Quast 1929) for propagation in ponds in Washington, D.C. (Baird 1879, McDonald 1885a, Holcomb 1964). Progeny from these fish were distributed in small numbers to applicants throughout the United States (McDonald 1885b). Great Lakes states were among early applicants who received fish (Jerome 1879), but records are not clear about stocking localities within the states (Hazzard and Eschmeyer 1938).

Goldfish have been reported in each of the Great Lakes, but are primarily in the southern half of the drainage and are most abundant in the shallow western portion of Lake Erie (Hubbs and Lagler 1970; Emery 1976).

Generally, goldfish are of little value in the Great Lakes. However, they hybridize readily with common carp, and the hybrids are marketed commercially with carp.

COMMON CARP

Cyprinus carpio Linnaeus. The introduction of common carp into the basin was most likely the result of an importation of 345 fish from Germany by the U.S. Fish Commission in 1877 (Baird 1879; Gottschalk 1967). Progeny from these fish were distributed in small numbers from ponds in the Baltimore, Maryland, and District of Columbia areas to applicants in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, New York, and Ohio in 1879 (Baird 1883; McDonald 1884a), and into Ontario, Canada in 1880 (Mackay 1963, McCrimmon 1968).

Common carp were introduced into North America in 1831 by a private citizen for propagation as a food fish (McCrimmon 1968). The first introductions into the Great Lakes basin were usually made into artificial or natural ponds, but in later years stocking was widespread (Whitaker et al. 1897; Hacker 1983).

Stocking was severely reduced in the late 1890s because of growing public disapproval of the species (Davis et al. 1899; McCrimmon 1968; Nelson 1973). Even then, some states continued to plant carp until 1921 (Holcomb 1964). As late as 1850 statutes were passed making destruction of carp a misdemeanor punishable by a fine (Lachner et al. 1970).

Common carp are present in each of the Great Lakes. An average of 1,874 metric tons were harvested annually from Lake Erie in 1914-81 (Baldwin et al. 1979). The commercial fishery could be expanded to other lakes if the demand increased. However, the low market value has made expansion unlikely.

Common carp are aggressive omnivores that uproot plants and roil the water while they feed. These habits frequently deteriorate habitat by increasing turbidity and destroying aquatic vegetation used by fish and waterfowl for food and cover (Berry 1983). The turbid waters are unfavorable for sight-feeding predatory fish, allegedly destroy eggs through siltation, and limit light penetration necessary for photosynthesis. Common carp are prolific in suitable habitats, and as they increase in numbers they compete for food and space with other more desirable fish species (Pflieger 1975; Rosenthal 1980). No extensive sport fishery for carp developed in the Great Lakes. The failure of this species to be accepted as a sport fish or as a food species has cost millions of dollars in eradication efforts over the years. Carp have never attained a favorable reputation in the Great Lakes and remain a costly problem fish throughout the basin.

BROWN TROUT

Salmo trutta Linnaeus. Brown trout (called Von Behr trout [from Germany] and Loch Leven trout [from Scotland] in early planting records) were first planted in the basin in 1883 (Mather 1893). Eggs were sent from Germany to New York (Mather 1886, 1893) and, in turn, forwarded from New York to Federal hatcheries at Northville, Michigan, and Caledonia, New York. Michigan planted brown trout fry in the Pere Marquette River, a tributary to Lake Michigan, in spring 1883 (Clark 1885). During the same year, some of the fry raised at the Caledonia hatchery accidentally escaped into the Genessee River, a tributary to Lake Ontario (Mather 1889).

Eventually, brown trout were stocked by all states bordering the Great Lakes and by Canada (MacCrimmon and Marshall 1968; MacCrimmon et al. 1970). Some states (e.g., Michigan) continued stocking brown trout sporadically after the late 1800s; self-sustaining populations have become widely distributed in suitable tributaries and shoreline waters of the lakes.

In the 1960s and 1970s a sport fishery for brown trout developed in suitable tributaries of all the Great Lakes and along some shorelines of the upper lakes. As with rainbow trout, the maintenance of a quality sport fishery seems to require stocking to augment natural reproduction.

RAINBOW SMELT

Osmerus mordax (Mitchill). It is not known when rainbow smelt first entered the basin. The 1912 stocking of smelt eggs in Crystal Lake, Michigan, which drains

into Lake Michigan, is credited with the establishment of the species in Lake Michigan (rainbow smelt were first reported in Lake Michigan in 1923), and eventually in the other lakes, except Lake Ontario (Van Oosten 1937; Mackay 1963; Scott and Crossman 1973). The origin of smelt in Lake Ontario has been controversial (Greeley 1939, Christie 1973, Scott and Crossman 1973). Hubbs and Lagler (1970) believed that rainbow smelt were endemic to Lake Ontario, and this hypothesis was also favored by Mackay (1963) and Smith (1968a). Scott and Crossman (1973), however, believed that smelt most likely migrated into the lake by way of the extensive canal system in New York (Cayuga Lake to Cross Lake to Seneca River to Oswego River) that was connected to the Atlantic Ocean drainage.

Although the 1912 stocking is credited with establishing smelt in the upper lakes, Michigan stocked smelt eggs in the St. Marys River as early as 1906 with the intention of ultimately providing forage for Atlantic salmon planted earlier by the state (Bower 1909; Creaser 1926). Michigan made additional smelt plantings (as eggs) in the St. Marys River in 1909, 1914, 1916, and 1921, but these introductions were considered failures (Creaser 1926; Van Oosten 1937; Dymond 1944).

Rainbow smelt are now abundant in all the Great Lakes, particularly in Lake Erie where a successful commercial trawl fishery developed in Canadian waters in the 1950s. About 9,096 metric tons of smelt were produced annually from 1960 to 1981 (Baldwin et al. 1979). Relatively large numbers of smelt are also harvested with dipnets during their spawning migration into tributaries and along shorelines of the lakes (Great Lakes Commission 1975).

Although the rainbow smelt is a valuable sport, commercial, and forage fish, it is blamed for excessive competition with, and predation on, young bloaters (*Coregonus hoyi*) and lake herring (*Coregonus artedii*) in the upper lakes (Smith 1970; Anderson and Smith 1971; Christie 1974) and for the decline of lake herring in Lake Ontario (Christie 1972, 1973, 1974); it may also have played a role in the extinction of blue pike (*Stizostedion vitreum glaucum*) in Lake Erie (Reiger and Hartman 1973; Ver Duin 1984b).

Despite wide population fluctuations in each of the lakes in the past (Smith 1972), the populations of smelt in each of the lakes later became relatively stable.

MOSQUITOFISH

Gambusia affinis (Baird and Girard). Mosquitofish, natural inhabitants of southern Illinois and Indiana, were first introduced into the Great Lakes drainage in 1923 at Whitman's Pond (Cook County) near Winnetka, Illinois (Krumholz 1944, 1948). They were later widely disseminated throughout the Chicago area (including waters in the drainage area of the Chicago River) for mosquito control. Progeny from the Cook County brood stock were introduced into Michigan, Wisconsin, Ohio, New York, and Ontario in 1941 to control mosquitoes and reduce the malaria hazard (Krumholz 1948). Michigan, for example, introduced the mosquitofish into inland ponds and lakes throughout the lower peninsula from Ann Arbor to the Straits of Mackinaw. Some of the fish are known to have

escaped into the Clinton and Huron Rivers (Lake Erie drainage) in southeastern Michigan, but apparently they never became established (Krumholz 1944). It is uncertain whether any mosquitofish planted in the other states or Ontario escaped into the Great Lakes. The mosquitofish became established in the Great Lakes basin in Cook County, Illinois, and in a few ponds in Washtenaw County, near Ann Arbor, Michigan (Latta 1974). No collections were recorded from the Great Lakes.

REDEAR SUNFISH

Lepomis microlophus (Gunther). The redeer sunfish probably entered the Great Lakes drainage in 1928 when Indiana first propagated the species and stocked it in northern lakes and streams of the state (Gerking 1945, 1953). It was later widely introduced into other Great Lakes states, but became established only in inland lakes (Fukano et al. 1964; Latta 1974) within the basin and not in the Great Lakes proper.

ORANGESPOTTED SUNFISH

Lepomis humilis (Girard). The orangespotted sunfish was first recorded in the basin in 1929 when it was collected in the eastern outlet of Lake St. Marys (Grand Lake), Ohio, a part of the Lake Erie drainage system (Trautman 1957). How it was introduced into Lake St. Marys is uncertain, but by the 1940s it had invaded the Maumee River and other river systems of Lake Erie in Ohio (Trautman 1957). Its range northward is limited to northern Ohio and extreme southeastern Michigan (Hubbs and Lagler 1970; Van Meter and Trautman 1970). The orangespotted sunfish was reported in Lake Erie marshes and other tributary streams in the vicinity of Cleveland, Ohio, in 1975 (White et al. 1975), which represented a significant extension of its range eastward in Ohio and for the basin (Trautman 1981).

COHO SALMON

Oncorhynchus kisutch (Walbaum). There is some uncertainty about when coho salmon were first introduced into the Great Lakes. They may have been stocked inadvertently with early plantings of chinook salmon in 1873. Many references credit the stocking of coho salmon in Lake Erie by the Ohio Division of Conservation in 1933 as the first introduction of the species into the Great Lakes (Slastenenko 1958; Scott 1967; Parsons 1973). From 1933 to 1935, some 3-to 5-pound (6.6-11 kilogram) salmon were recovered from the lake, but the introduction was considered unsuccessful (Scott and Crossman 1973).

In 1966 West Coast coho salmon were reintroduced into the Great Lakes by Michigan and Ohio (Parsons 1973). Those introductions were successful, and millions of coho salmon have been stocked annually since 1966. A highly successful sport fishery developed, particularly in Lake Michigan. The fish grew well and ate alewives as forage. Although some natural reproduction occurred,

the sport fishery and continued presence of the coho salmon have depended primarily on continued stocking in the basin.

ORIENTAL WEATHERFISH

Misgurnus anguillicaudatus (Cantor). The oriental weatherfish, a native of eastern Asia (Sterba 1962, Okada 1966), was brought into Michigan from Kobe, Japan, in 1939 by an aquarium supply house and apparently escaped into the Shiawassee River, Michigan, where it was first discovered in 1958 (Schultz 1960; Latta 1974). The range of the species appeared to be confined to a small section of the headwaters of the Shiawassee River (Schultz 1960). The introduction of oriental weatherfish into the Shiawassee River marked the first successful introduction of any species of the family Cobitidae into the New World (Latta 1974). No attempts were made to eradicate the species from the stream, and presumably it did not spread from the Shiawassee River. Fish collections in 1973 indicated that the population had remained stable (G. Smith, University of Michigan, personal communication). The effect of the oriental weatherfish on native species has not been assessed. Its survival in the basin has remained a curiosity.

MARGINED MADTOM

Noturus insignis (Richardson). The margined madtom was first reported in the basin by Greeley (1928) and Eaton (1928). They found a few individuals in streams and lakes within the Oswego River drainage system, a Lake Ontario drainage. The introduction was apparently accidental and occurred when a Susquehanna stream was diverted into the Oswego drainage. Hubbs and Lagler (1947) listed the margined madtom as present in southern tributaries to Lake Ontario and it is unclear whether they referred to Greeley's listing, or to other tributaries along the southern shore of the lake. Crossman and Van Meter (1979) were unable to find any margined madtoms in surveys of streams along the southern shores of Lake Ontario.

The margined madtom is a native of Atlantic coastal streams (Taylor 1969) and its occurrence in other areas of the country is usually believed to be the result of accidental introductions with plantings of bait or game fish (Rubec and Coad 1974). The margined madtom has been a popular bait fish used by fishermen to catch largemouth (*Micropterus salmoides*) and smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*).

Because of its size and habits, and because it is relatively difficult to identify, the margined madtom commands little attention from the public. It was thus not surprising that its presence in the basin went unreported until it was found in 1966 in an isolated inland lake in Michigan's Upper Peninsula (Latta 1974) and in 1979 in an inland site at the extreme eastern part of the Lake Ontario watershed (Crossman and Van Meter 1979). It appears that both reports represented isolated populations; further expansion in the basin seems unlikely.

KOKANEE

Oncorhynchus nerka (Walbaum). The kokanee-a landlocked form of sockeye salmon-was first introduced into the basin in 1950, when New York stocked fingerlings into the headwaters of Lake Ontario tributaries (Parsons 1973). Other Great Lakes states later experimented with kokanees, but did not stock them into the Great Lakes proper (Crossman and Van Meter 1979).

A major program of kokanee introduction was initiated in Lakes Ontario and Huron by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources in 1964-72 (Collins 1971; Great Lakes Fishery Commission 1976). About 17 million fish were stocked in the two lakes before the stocking program was terminated in 1972 (Great Lakes Fishery Commission 1976). Initially some fairly large spawning runs (9,000 or more fish) were noted in Lake Huron on Manitoulin Island (Collins 1971) but the number of returning fish declined annually over the years. A small resident population remained in Lake Huron in 1979; small numbers of fish (about 50) were observed entering Manitoulin Island streams to spawn in 1979 (J. Collins, Ontario Department of Natural Resources, personal communication). It is difficult to estimate how long the small Lake Huron population will continue to exist, much less expand its range.

WHITE PERCH

Morone americana (Gmelin). White perch are believed to have invaded the basin in about 1950, when they apparently gained access to Lake Ontario by way of the Oswego River (Scott and Christie 1963). Their movement into the lake was the result of an expanding Hudson River population that moved through the Mohawk River Valley and the Erie Barge Canal into the lake (Scott and Crossman 1973). White perch were first reported in Lake Ontario in 1952 (Christie 1973, 1974), and by 1960 had become the dominant species in the Bay of Quinte region (Scott 1963; Scott and Christie 1963; Christie 1972, 1973).

It is generally accepted that white perch expanded their range from Lake Ontario into Lake Erie through canals. Both the Welland and the Erie canals provided entry routes, but the Erie Canal was the most likely route just as it was for populations entering Lake Ontario (Radonski 1983). White perch were first collected in Lake Erie in 1953 (Larsen 1954), but were not reported again until 1973. By 1975, however, they had become firmly established in the shallow, warmer western end of the lake (Busch et al. 1977). They have not become as abundant in Lake Erie as in Lake Ontario, possibly because of the greater abundance of piscivorous fish-particularly walleye-in Lake Erie (Busch et al. 1977). Negative impacts on native species, particularly yellow perch, have not been determined (Carline 1983). Some commercial and sports fishermen are worried about the effects an expanding white perch population might have on sport and other commercially important species present in the lakes (Ver Duin 1984c; Shepherd 1985). White perch were captured in 1977 in Canadian waters of Lake St. Clair where they continued to increase over the years (Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources 1983). In 1983, adults were captured in trap nets

set by commercial fishermen in Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron (J. Weber, Michigan Department of Natural Resources, personal communication; Ver Duin 1984c). Continued expansion into the upper Great Lakes is probable, primarily into the shallower, warmer areas of the lakes such as Green Bay and other smaller bays along the shores (the species prefers water that reaches 24°C in summer).

White perch became an important sport and commercial fish in Lakes Erie and Ontario.

PINK SALMON

Oncorhynchus gorbuscha (Walbaum). Pink salmon were first introduced into the Great Lakes in 1956 when the Ontario Department of Lands and Forests released about 21,000 fingerlings into the Current River, a tributary to Lake Superior, and a small number (300-350) near Pie Island in Lake Superior (Nunan 1967; Collins 1975). The introduction was essentially accidental; the fish were not intentionally planted to create a fishery, but were discarded from the Port Arthur Hatchery (Ontario, Canada) into a sewer that emptied into the Current River (Nunan 1967). There has been much unwritten discussion by fishery biologists about this introduction because it was seemingly unauthorized. Apparently the pink salmon were excess hatchery stock (three troughs containing about 7,000 fingerlings each) left over from fish reared for planting in the Hudson Bay area. Although the Hudson Bay introductions failed to produce the intended sport and commercial fishery for the Indians in the area, the Great Lakes introduction was highly successful, and pink salmon became the only self-sustaining species of salmon in the lakes (other than the much more limited and declining kokanee in Lake Huron).

A few prespawning pink salmon taken in Minnesota waters of Lake Superior in 1957 were the first recorded specimens produced by natural reproduction (Schumacher and Eddy 1960; Schumacher and Hale 1962). The species spread throughout Lake Superior and into northern Lake Huron by 1969 and into Lake Michigan by 1973 (Collins 1975; Wagner and Stauffer 1975). Extension of the range into the lower lakes was slow (Parsons 1973). However, by 1979, the range had been expanded to include all of the Great Lakes (Emery 1981).

Pink salmon became most abundant in Lake Superior, where spawning migrations into some streams along Michigan's Upper Peninsula exceeded 10,000 fish in 1979 (Wagner and Stauffer 1982). Pink salmon were noticeably absent in southern portions of Lakes Michigan and Huron. Their expansion into Lakes Erie and Ontario will be watched closely by scientists and sport fishermen (Dermott 1982). Pink salmon were usually not observed in any of the lakes until they entered tributaries to spawn (Honsowetz 1978). Little is known about their behavior in the open lakes.

Pink salmon adapted well to the Great Lakes environment (Kwain and Lawrie 1981). Their normal life span is two years (Gilbert 1914; Anas 1959; Bilton and Ricker 1965; Bailey 1969). Since they were introduced as fingerlings in an even-numbered year (1956), spawning first occurred only in odd-numbered

years (Collins 1975). However, some fish lived to be three years old before they spawned (Wagner and Stauffer 1980). These three-year-olds produced a population that spawned in even-numbered years (Kwain 1978; Kwain and Chappel 1978; Wagner and Stauffer 1980). The development of a strong even-year spawning population of pink salmon in Lake Superior, in conjunction with expanding odd-year spawning populations into the other Great Lakes, could expand the recreational sport fishery for this species (Huggler 1979), and perhaps lead to the development of a commercial fishery in certain areas of the Great Lakes. The pink salmon competes with other introduced and native species for food and space, and it is still too early to determine if their introduction will ultimately be judged favorably or unfavorably. No matter how it is judged, this species has become a firmly established resident of the Great Lakes.

UNSUCCESSFUL INTRODUCTIONS

The 17 species considered unsuccessful are a diverse mixture, most of which were the focus of considerable effort expended toward establishing them in the basin. The American eel, Atlantic salmon, Arctic grayling, and grass carp differ from the others discussed in this section in that they did not, or could not, produce offspring to sustain a population. For example, the American eel reproduces only in the Sargasso Sea and the status of the grass carp in the basin remained undetermined. The Atlantic salmon and Arctic grayling once flourished in parts of the basin but populations did not persist and reintroductions of both species failed.

AMERICAN SHAD

Alosa sapidissima (Wilson). American shad were first introduced into the basin in 1870, when New York (one of 19 states that were involved in fish culture and in restoring depleted fisheries before the U.S. Fish Commission was established in 1871 [Bowen 1970]) planted about 5,000 in the Genesee River, a tributary to Lake Ontario (Baird 1874; Smith 1892; Evermann and Kendall 1902). Other plantings were made in the drainages of lakes Erie and Michigan in 1871, when Seth Green, New York Fish Commissioner, planted small numbers at Cleveland and Toledo, Ohio, and Chicago, Illinois (Milner 1874a). These fish were part of a larger shipment en route from the East Coast to the Pacific Coast. American shad were planted in Lake Huron in 1873 (Jerome 1875). These early plantings were all experimental and were part of the first efforts by the U.S. Fish Commission to solve the problem of decreasing catches of food fish along the Atlantic Coast and in the Great Lakes (Baird 1874; Milner 1874a).

Nearly 3.5 million American shad were stocked in the Great Lakes in the 1870s and early 1880s (Baird 1874, 1876, 1880, 1884, 1887; Milner 1876, 1880; Anonymous 1883; McDonald 1884b; Smiley 1884). Few were ever recovered (Baird 1874; Milner 1874a; Smith 1892), and stocking in the Great Lakes was discontinued in the early 1880s (Post 1894).

successful and no other introductions into the Great Lakes were recorded (Post 1894; Hubbs and Lagler 1970; Van Oosten 1957).

STRIPED BASS

Morone saxatilis. Striped bass were first introduced into the basin in 1878 when 140 fish from the Hudson River were stocked into the Genessee River, a tributary to Lake Ontario (Green 1879; Crossman and Van Meter 1979). Only one fish (a “6-pounder” [14.5 kilograms]) was recovered from this introduction (Mather 1881) and no other introductions into the basin have been attempted.

Some Great Lakes states and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service evaluated striped bass for reintroduction into the Great Lakes (Parsons 1974), but no plantings were made.

ARCTIC GRAYLING

Thymallus arcticus (Pallus). The Arctic grayling was historically present in Michigan drainages of Lakes Superior, Michigan, and Huron (Milner 1874c; Goode 1884, 1903; Jordan and Everman 1896; Hubbs and Lagler 1970). Its rapid decline in the late 1800s (Whitaker 1887; Brice 1897; Vincent 1962) stimulated propagation of the species (Goode 1884; Clark 1886; Baird 1887; Henshall 1898; Bowers 1899). The extermination of grayling is believed to have been the result of overfishing, habitat destruction, adverse effects of logging on eggs, and predation by brook trout, whose range was greatly expanded by Michigan plantings that began in 1879 (DeClaire [1974] stated brook trout plantings began in 1877) (Michigan State Board of Fish Commissioners 1905, Taylor 1954). In 1889 the first grayling from Montana were stocked in Michigan drainages of Lakes Michigan and Huron (Ravenel 1900).

The Michigan Fish Commission continued stocking Montana grayling in Michigan waters intermittently until 1941 (Westerman 1941), but no self-sustaining populations resulted (Creaser and Creaser 1935; Leonard 1939); by the mid-1930s, the grayling was extinct in Michigan and the basin (Scott and Crossman 1973; DeClaire 1974; Latta 1974; Westers and Stauffer 1974).

Attempts to establish the grayling in the Michigan and Ontario drainages of the Great Lakes during the 1950s and 1960s were also failures (Ontario Department of Lands and Forests 1962, 1968; Scott 1963; Fukano et al. 1964; Scott and Crossman 1973). Michigan is considering an experimental reintroduction of Arctic grayling into two streams within the Pictured Rocks National Lakeshore National Park, in the Upper Peninsula, but had not begun efforts in 1985 because a suitable egg source had not been located (D. Reynolds, Michigan Department of Natural Resources, personal communication).

CUTTHROAT TROUT

Salmo clarki Richardson. Michigan was the first state to propagate cutthroat trout, a native Western North American species, and stock it into waters of the

basin. The federal fish hatchery at Leadville, Colorado, began active propagation of the species in 1889 and was primarily responsible for distribution of cutthroat trout to various state fish commissions (McDonald 1893, 1894). The Federal Fish Hatchery at Northville, Michigan, was an early recipient of fish from Leadville, and had 940 cutthroat trout on hand in 1891 (Worth 1895). In 1892, the Minnesota and Wisconsin Fish Commissions both received small numbers of fish from Leadville (McDonald 1895). Although most cutthroat trout produced went to western states, the Leadville Hatchery also distributed small numbers of fish and eggs to various state fish commissions in the Great Lakes basin until the early 1900s, but whether any of these states, besides Michigan, stocked cutthroat trout in the Great Lakes or in the basin is uncertain.

In 1895 the Michigan Fish Commission began planting cutthroat trout in the south branch of the Pere Marquette River, a tributary to Lake Michigan (Michigan State Board of Fish Commissioners 1897). Michigan continued to stock cutthroat trout in state waters from 1895 to 1940. A total of 105,000 fish were stocked by Michigan, but apparently all introductions failed because no fish were reported caught from waters of the upper Great Lakes basin (Holcomb 1964).

Cutthroat trout may also have been introduced into Canadian waters of Georgian Bay, but the year or years of introduction and the outcome were not reported (McAllister and Crossman 1973).

TENCH

Tinca tinca (Linnaeus). Tench were accidentally introduced into the basin in 1898 when a freshet caused the escape of a few fish from an artificial lake on the campus of Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, into the Olentangy River (Osburn 1901; Baughman 1947). Although the river lies outside the basin, extensive canal systems in Ohio at the time, and frequent flooding, made it possible for tench to reach the Great Lakes drainage. Tench were first raised with common carp as a food fish (Benecke 1885; Nicklas 1886) by the U.S. Fish Commission in the 1800s, but in later years were stocked primarily as ornamental fish with golden ide (*Leuciscus idus*) - also called ide or golden orfe - and goldfish (Baird 1879; Ravenel 1900). Many Great Lakes states received small numbers of tench but their distribution was unclear. Attempts to verify the survival of tench in Ohio waters were unsuccessful (Baughman 1947) and the introduction was considered a failure.

CHAIN PICKEREL

Esox niger LeSueur. The chain pickerel, a native of eastern Lake Ontario drainages in New York and the eastern seaboard states (Weed 1927), was propagated successfully in the early 1900s as a game fish for stocking in inland waters of northeastern Pennsylvania (Meehan 1906; Kendall 1919). Chain pickerel are often cited as being introduced into New York drainages of Lake Erie (Slashtenko 1958; Hubbs and Lagler 1970; Scott and Crossman 1973). However, these reports may have been a misinterpretation of Greeley's (1929) early survey

work done on the Erie-Niagara watershed, which listed the chain pickerel as a native species.

The most verifiable Great Lakes introduction of the chain pickerel was in 1907, when Pennsylvania stocked chain pickerel fry into Lake Erie at Presque Isle Bay, Erie, Pennsylvania (Hartman 1908). There were no records of recoveries, and the introduction was apparently unsuccessful. Ohio intermittently stocked chain pickerel in isolated lakes, impoundments, and in the upper reaches of small streams in the Lake Erie drainage after 1954 (Trautman 1981), with moderate success, but discontinued the program in 1982.

MOUNTAIN WHITEFISH

Prosopium williamsoni (Girard). The mountain whitefish, a native of western North America, was introduced into Michigan waters in 1920 as part of the propagation of game and food fishes for inland waters (Baird 1922). This was the only Great Lakes stocking recorded, and it apparently failed (Van Oosten 1957).

BULLHEAD MINNOW

Pimephales vigilax (Baird and Girard). The bullhead minnow (range generally restricted to the Mississippi drainage) has been reported twice in the Great Lakes basin. It was first reported in 1927 by Cahn (1927) in the Wisconsin drainage of Lake Michigan, but the authenticity of its identification was questioned (Hubbs and Black 1947). It is uncertain whether the minnow invaded from the West or was released accidentally by bait fishermen. Inasmuch as the Wisconsin collection came from an area of many stream cross-overs, the fish may have entered the Great Lakes basin during a period of high water.

The other report for the Great Lakes basin was made by Hubbs (1930), who reported that the bullhead minnow was collected by Milton Trautman (Ohio State University) in Lake St. Marys (Grand Lake), an Ohio lake within the Lake Erie drainage. Its presence in the lake is believed to have been the result of accidental introductions by bait fishermen (Hubbs and Black 1947). There have been no other reports of this species in the basin and it is believed that all introductions failed.

MASU SALMON

Oncorhynchus masou Brevoort. A few masu salmon (also called cherry salmon) from Japan were stocked in a Michigan tributary of Lake Michigan in 1929 (Westerman 1930; Parsons 1973). No further information about this introduction was reported; apparently there were no survivors (Scott and Christie 1963; Parsons 1973). In 1966 the Ontario Department of Lands and Forests experimentally planted small numbers of masu salmon in a small inland lake near the western boundary of Algonquin Park, Ontario, outside the Great Lakes basin (Christie 1970). Although these fish grew moderately well, the project was terminated and no plantings were made in the Great Lakes basin.

WHITE CATFISH

Ictalurus catus (Linnaeus). The white catfish, a native of southeastern Atlantic coastal states, was introduced into Lake Erie in about 1939 by a commercial fisherman (Trautman 1981). For a number of years, individual fish escaped from holding pens into the lake, where they were captured until 1953; however, none were reported later, and presumably the species did not become established in the basin (Van Meter and Trautman 1970).

CHUM SALMON

Oncorhynchus keta. The chum salmon was introduced into the basin in 1945 (Fukano et al. 1964; Holcomb 1964; Latta 1974). Michigan planted a few of these fish (4 or 185—depending on the source of information) as fingerlings in an inland lake (Deep Lake) in Oakland County, Michigan. There is some question whether these fish were chum salmon or misidentified coho or chinook salmon planted at the same time. No chum salmon were recovered and no other plantings in the basin were reported.

ALASKA BLACKFISH

Dallia pectoralis Bean. The Alaska blackfish was introduced into the basin in 1956 when fish from Alaska were stocked in a few farm ponds in Ontario (Crossman 1968; Scott and Crossman 1973). It is a small fish similar to the central mudminnow (*Umbra limi*) in form and habits. It was hoped that the Alaska blackfish could survive winter oxygen depletions and create a recreational fishery in the shallow ponds, but the attempt failed.

GRASS CARP

Ctenopharyngodon idella (Valenciennes). Grass carp were first brought into the United States from Malaysia in 1963 by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for experimental research at Auburn University, Auburn, Alabama, and at the Service's Fish Farming Experimental Station, Stuttgart, Arkansas (Roberts 1973; Guillory and Gasaway 1978). Grass carp were tested for potential use in aquatic weed control. The carp did not prove to be the panacea for aquatic weed control (it does not confine its diet to vegetation), and many states later banned its importation (Courtenay and Robins 1972; Rosenthal 1980; Hacker 1983).

The introduction of grass carp into the Great Lakes region was prohibited by regulations in each of the Great Lakes states and Canada, but in 1975 it was discovered that private citizens in Wisconsin and Michigan had illegally obtained and stocked grass carp in private ponds within the basin in 1974 (Anonymous 1975; Mainville 1975; Michigan Department of Natural Resources 1975). Persons responsible for the introductions cooperated with state officials in eradicating the stocks. However, some ponds allowed direct access to the Great Lakes and state biologists are uncertain if any fish or eggs escaped into connecting

streams or lakes. Despite repeated importations of the species into the basin (Guillory and Gasaway 1978) there was no evidence that any introductions were successful (Bailey and Smith 1981).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank John W. Parsons for arousing my interest in introduced fish species, and Carolyn Brill for typing the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- ANAS, R. E.
1959. Three-year-old pink salmon. *J. Fish Res. Board Can.* 16(1):91-92
- ANDERSON, E. D., and L. L. SMITH, JR.
1971. Factors affecting abundance of lake herring (*Coregonus artedii* LeSueur) in western Lake Superior. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 100(4):691-707.
- ANON.
1883. Report on the propagation and distribution of shad (*Alosa sapidissima*) in the spring of 1880. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1880, Part 8:653-672.
1975. What's happening. North Woods Call, Charlevoix, Mich. 22(18):4.
1976. Foote finds flounder. *Great Lakes Fisherman* 3(3):23.
- APPLEGATE, V. C.
1950. Natural history of the sea lamprey, *Petromyzon marinus*, in Michigan. U. S. Fish Wildl. Serv., Spec. Sci. Rep. Fish. 55. 237 pp.
- APPLEGATE, V. C., and J. W. MOFFETT.
1955. The sea lamprey. *Sci. Am.* 192(4):36-41.
- ARON, W. I., and S. H. SMITH.
1971. Ship canals and aquatic ecosystems. *Science* 174(4004): 13-20.
- BAILEY, J. E.
1969. Alaska's fishery resources-the pink salmon. U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv., Fish. Leaflet. 619. 8 pp.
- BAILEY, R. M., and G. R. SMITH.
1981. Origin and geography of the fish fauna of the Laurentian Great Lakes basin. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 38(12):1539-1561.
- BAIRD, J.
1922. Division of fish cultural operations. Pages 97-150 in Michigan Department of Conservation Biennial Report for 1921 and 1922.
- BAIRD, S F.
1874. Multiplication of fish in general. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1872 and 1873, Part 2:XXXI-XCII.
1876. Report of the Commissioner. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. for 1873-1874 and 1874 1875, Part 3:VII-XLVI.
1879. Report of the Commissioner. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1877, Part 5:1-48.
1880. Report of the Commissioner. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1878, Part 6:XV-LXIV.
1883. Report of the Commissioner. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1880, Part 8:XVII-XLVI.
1884. Report of the Commissioner. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1881, Part 9:XI-LXXI.
1887. Report of the Commissioner. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1885, Part 13:XIX-CXII.
- BALDWIN, N. S.
1968. Sea Lamprey in the Great Lakes. *Limnos* 1(3):20-27.
- BALDWIN, N. S., R. W. SAALFELD, M. A. ROSS, and H. J. BUETTNER.
1979. Commercial fish production in the Great Lakes 1867-1977. *Great Lakes Fish. Comm., Ann Arbor, Mich., Tech. Rep. 3.* 187 pp.

- BAUGHMAN, J. L.
1947. The tenth in America. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 11(3):197-204.
- BEAN, T. H.
1884. On the occurrence of the branch alewife in certain lakes of New York. Pages 588-593 in G. B. Goode, ed. *The fisheries and fishing industries of the United States, Section 1.* U. S. Government Printing Office, Wash., D.C.
- BENECKE, B.
1885. Utilizing water by fish culture. *U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep.* 1883, Part 11:1101-1 131.
- BERRY, C. R.
1983. Value of carp control in the marshes of a national wildlife refuge. *U. S. Fish Wildl. Serv., Res. Inf. Bull.* 83-38. 1 p.
- BERST, A. H., and G. R. SPANGLER.
1973. Lake Huron-The ecology of the fish community and man's effects on it. *Great Lakes Fish. Comm., Tech. Rep.* 21. 41 pp.
- BILTON, H. T., and W. E. RICKER.
1965. Supplementary checks on the scales of pink salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*) and chum salmon (*O. keta*). *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 22(6):1477-1489.
- BOWEN, J. T.
1970. A history of fish culture as related to the development of fishery programs. Pages 71-93 in N. G. Benson, ed. *A century of fisheries in North America. Spec. Publ. 7, Am. Fish. Soc., Wash., D.C.*
- BOWER, S.
1909. Report of the Superintendent. Pages 7-23 in *Seventeenth biennial report for 1905 and 1906, Michigan State Board of Fish Commissioners.*
- BOWERS, G. M.
1899. Report of the Commissioner. *U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep.* 1898, Part 24:VII-XXIX.
- BRICE, J. J.
1897. A manual of fish-culture, based on the methods of the United States Commission of Fish and Fisheries. *U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep.* 1897, Part 23:1-247.
- BROWN, E. H., JR.
1968. Population characteristics and physical condition of alewives, *Alosa pseudoharengus*, in a massive dieoff in Lake Michigan, 1967. *Great Lakes Fish. Comm., Tech. Rep.* 13. 20 pp.
1972. Population biology of alewives, *Alosa pseudoharengus*, in Lake Michigan, 1949-70. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 29(5):477-500.
1984. Spring die-off of alewives and smelt in northern Lakes Michigan and Huron. *Am. Inst. Fish. Research Biol.* 13(4):5.
- BUSCH, W. D., D. H. DAVIES, and S. J. NEPSZY.
1977. Establishment of white perch, *Morone americana*, in Lake Erie. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 34(7):1039-1041.
- CAHN, A. R.
1927. An ecological study of southern Wisconsin fishes. *Ill. Biol. Monogr.* 11:1-151.
- CARLINE, R. F.
1983. The ecology of white perch in western Lake Erie. *U. S. Fish Wildl. Serv., Res. Inf. Bull.* 83-37. 2 pp.
- CARTER, L. J.
1968. Lake Michigan: Salmon help to redress the balance. *Science* 161(3841):551-555.
- CHRISTIE, W. J.
1970. Introduction of the cherry salmon (*Oncorhynchus masou*) in Algonquin Park, Ontario. *Copeia* 1970(2):378-379.
1972. Lake Ontario: Effects of exploitation, introduction, and eutrophication on the salmonid community. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 29(6):913-929.
1973. A review of the changes in the fish species composition in Lake Ontario. *Great Lakes Fish. Comm. Tech. Rep.* 23. 65 pp.
1974. Changes in the fish species composition of the Great Lakes. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 31(5):827-854.

- CLARK, F. N.
 1885. Report of operations at Northville and Alpena stations for the season 1883-84. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1883, Part 11, **Append.** E:975-982.
 1886. Report of operations at the Northville and Alpena stations during the season of 1884 85. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1884, Part 12:151-168.
- COLBY, P. J.
 1973. Response of the alewives, *Alosa pseudoharengus*, to environmental change. Pages 163-196 in W. Chavin, ed. Responses of fish to environmental changes. Charles C. Thomas Publishers, Springfield, Ill.
- COLLINS, J. J.
 1971. Introduction of kokanee salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) into Lake Huron. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 28(12):1857-1870.
 1975. Occurrence of pink salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*) in Lake Huron. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 32(3):402-404.
- COURTENAY, W. R., JR., and C. R. ROBINS.
 1972. The grass carp enigma. Bioscience 22(4):210.
- CREASER, C. W.
 1926. The establishment of the Atlantic smelt in the upper waters of the Great Lakes. Pap. Mich. Acad. Sci. Arts Lett. V(1925):405-424.
- CREASER, C. W., and E. P. CREASER.
 1935. The grayling in Michigan. Pap. Mich. Acad. Sci. Arts Lett. 20:599-610.
- CROSSMAN, E. J.
 1968. Changes in the Canadian freshwater fauna. pages 1-20 in A symposium on introductions of exotic species. Ont. Dep. Lands Forests, Res. Brief, Res. Rep. 82. 111 pp.
- CROSSMAN, E. J., and H. D. VAN METER.
 1979. Annotated list of the fishes of the Lake Ontario watershed. Great Lakes Fish. Comm. Tech. Rep. 36. 25 pp.
- CROWDER, L. B.
 1980. Alewife, smelt and native fishes in Lake Michigan: Competition or predation? Environ. Biol. Fish. 5(3):225-233.
- CROWE, W. R.
 1975. Great Lakes Fishery Commission history, program, and progress. Great Lakes Fish. Comm., Ann Arbor, Mich. 22 pp.
- DAVIS, H. W., F. B. DICKERSON, and S. BOWER.
 1899. Report of the State Board of Fish Commissioners for 1897-1898. Pages 5-21 in Thirteenth biennial report, Michigan State Board of Fish Commissioners.
- DECLAIRE, A. W.
 1974. Chronology of important events in Michigan's fisheries history. Pages 178-185 in Michigan fisheries centennial report-1873-1973. Mich. Dep. Nat. Resour. Manage. Rep. 6. 200 pp.
- DERMOTT, R.
 1982. Status of pink salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*) in Lake Ontario, 1981. Can. Manuser. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 1671. 6 pp.
- DYMOND, J. R.
 1922. A provisional list of the fishes of Lake Erie. Univ. Toronto Studies, Biol. Ser. 20, Publ. Ont. Fish. Res. Lab. 4:55-74.
 1932. Records of the alewife and steelhead (rainbow) trout from Lake Erie. Copeia 1932(1):32-33.
 1939. The fishes of the Ottawa region. Contrib. Royal Ont. Mus. Zool. 15:9-10.
 1944. Spread of smelt (*Osmerus mordax*) in the Canadian waters of the Great Lakes. Can. Field-Nat. 58(1):12-14.
- EATON, E. H.
 1928. The finger lakes fish problem. Pages 40-66 in A biological survey of the Oswego River system. Suppl. Seventeenth Annu. Rep. N.Y. State Conserv. Dep. 1927.

- EMERY, L.
 1976. Fish inhabiting U.S. waters of the Great Lakes, with indications of their relative abundance and of their importance as commercial, sport, or forage species. Pages 19-21 in J. Boreman, ed. Great Lakes fish egg and larvae identification: Proceedings of a workshop. Natl. Power Plant Team, Office Biological Services, U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv.
 1981. Range extension of pink salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*) into the lower Great Lakes. Fisheries (Bethesda) 6(2):7-10.
- EVERMANN, B. W., and W. C. KENDALL.
 1902. Notes on the fishes of Lake Ontario. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1901 27:209-216.
- FUKANO, K. G., H. GOWING, M. J. HANSEN, and L. N. ALLISON.
 1964. Introduction of exotic fish into Michigan. Mich. Dep. Conserv., Inst. Fish. Res. Rep. 1689. 50 pp. (unpublished)
- GERKING, S. D.
 1945. Distribution of the fishes of Indiana. Invest. Indiana Lakes Streams 3(1):1-137.
 1953. Vital statistics of the fish population of Gordy Lake, Indiana. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 82(1952):48-67.
- GILBERT, C. H.
 1914. Age at maturity of the Pacific Coast salmon of the genus *Oncorhynchus*. Bull. U. S. Bur. Fish. 32:1-22.
- GOODE, G. B.
 1882. Notes on the life-history of the eel, chiefly derived from a study of recent European authorities. Bull. U. S. Fish Comm. 1(1881):71-124.
 1884. The grayling (*Thymallus tricolor*). Pages 505-507 in The fisheries and fishery industry of the United States, Section I, Part III. U. S. Gov. Printing Office, Wash., D. C.
 1903. American fishes: A popular treatise upon the game and food fishes of North America, with special reference to habits and methods of capture. L. C. Page Co., Boston, Mass. 562 pp.
- GOTTSCHALK, J. S.
 1967. The introduction of exotic animals into the United States, Part III. Proc. Pap. 10th Annu. Meet. Int. Union Conserv. Nat. Resour., IUCN Publ. (New Series) 9:124-140.
- GRAHAM, J. J.
 1956. Observation on the alewife, *Pomolobus pseudoharengus* Wilson, in fresh water. Publ. Ont. Fish. Res. Lab. (Univ. Toronto Biol. Ser. 62) 74. 43 pp.
- GREAT LAKES COMMISSION.
 1975. Spring has sprung when the smelt run has begun. Great Lakes News Letter 19(4):3.
- GREAT LAKES FISHERY COMMISSION.
 1967. Annual report for the year 1966. Ann Arbor, Mich. 61 pp.
 1976. Annual report for the year 1974. Ann Arbor, Mich. 75 pp.
 1982. Annual report for the year 1979. Ann Arbor, Mich. 146 pp.
- GREELEY, J. R.
 1928. Annotated list of fishes occurring in the Oswego River drainage. Pages 95-107 in A biological survey of the Oswego River system. Suppl. Seventeenth Annu. Rep. N.Y. State Conserv. Dep. 1927.
 1929. Fishes of the Erie-Niagara watershed. Pages 150-179 in A biological survey of the Erie-Niagara system. Suppl. Eighteenth Annu. Rep. N.Y. State Conserv. Dep. 1928.
 1939. Fishes of the watershed with annotated list. Pages 42-81 in A Biological survey of the Lake Ontario watershed. Suppl. Twenty-ninth Annu. Rep. N. Y. State Conserv. Dep. 1939.
- GREELEY, J. R., and S. C. BISHOP.
 1931. Fishes of the area. Pages 54-92 in A biological survey of the Oswegatchie and Black River systems. Suppl. Twenty-first Annu. Rep. N. Y. State Conserv. Dep.
- GREEN, S.
 1879. Stocking waters with various kinds of fish. Trans. Am. Fish. Cult. Assoc. [Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.] 8:22-26.

- GUILLORY, V., and R. D. GASAWAY.
1978. Zoogeography of the grass carp in the United States. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 107(1):105-112.
- HACKER, V.
1983. Welcome stranger? Pages 29-31 in *Wisconsin Natural Resources Magazine*, Wis. Dep. Nat. Resour., Madison, Wis.
- HARTMAN, P. H.
1908. Erie Hatchery, station no. 2. Pages 97-104 in *Pennsylvania Report of the Department of Fisheries*, 1907. Harrisburg Publ. Co., Harrisburg.
- HARTMAN, W. L.
1973. Effects of exploitation, environmental changes, and new species on the fish habitats and resources of Lake Erie. *Great Lakes Fish. Comm. Tech. Rep.* 22. 43 pp.
- HAZZARD, A. S., and R. W. ESCHMEYER.
1938. Goldfish are nice to look at, but. *Mich. Conserv.* 7(11):5.
- HENSHALL, J. A.
1898. Some preliminary observations concerning the artificial culture of the grayling. Pages 105-108 in *Minutes, Twenty-seventh Annual Meeting, American Fisheries Society*, Omaha, Nebraska, July 20-22, 1898.
- HODGE, A. E., and A. DERHAM.
1926. Goldfish culture for amateurs. *Frederick A. Stockes Co.*, New York. 6 pp.
- HOLCOMB, D. E.
1964. A history of intentional introductions of exotic fishes in Michigan. M.S. Thesis, Univ. Mich., Ann Arbor. 33 pp.
- HONSOWETZ, D. C.
1978. The fish that fooled the experts. *Mich. Out-of-Doors* 32(8):34-35.
- HUBBS, C. L.
1930. Further additions and corrections to the list of the fishes of the Great Lakes and tributary waters. *Pap. Mich. Acad. Sci. Arts Lett.* 11(1929):425-436.
- HUBBS, C. L., and J. D. BLACK.
1947. Revision of *Ceratichthys*, a genus of American cyprinid fishes. *Misc. Publ. Mus. Zool. Univ. Mich.* 66:6-56.
- HUBBS, C. L. and K. F. LAGLER.
1947. Fishes of the Great Lakes region. *Cranbrook Inst. Sci. Bull.* 26. 186 pp.
1970. Fishes of the Great Lakes region. *Univ. Mich. Press, Ann Arbor.* 213 pp.
- HUGGLER, T. E.
1979. Pink salmon: The new midwest sport fish. *Fishing World* 26(4):29-31.
- JACOBY, L.
1882. The eel question. *U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep.* 1879, Part 7:413-483.
- JEROME, G. H.
1875. Report of the Superintendent. Pages 5-42 in *First Report Michigan State Commissioners and Superintendent on State Fisheries for 1873-4.*
1879. Third report of the Superintendent of the Michigan State Fisheries for 1877-8, Lansing. 96 pp.
- JORDAN, D. S., and B. W. EVERMAN.
1896. The fishes of North and Middle America: A descriptive catalogue of the species of fish-like vertebrates found in the waters of North America, north of the isthmus of Panama. *Bull. U. S. Natl. Mus.* 47 (Part 1):1-954.
- KENDALL, W. C.
1919. The pikes: Their geographical distribution, habits, culture, and commercial importance. *U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep.* 1917, *Append. V*:5-45.
- KRUMHOLZ, L. A.
1944. Northward acclimatization of the western mosquitofish, *Gambusia affinis affinis*. *Copeia* 1944(2):82-85.
1948. The mosquitofish, *Gambusia*, established in the Great Lakes region. *Copeia* 1948(2):144.

- KWAIN, W.
1978. Pink salmon are here to stay. Ontario Fish Wildl. Rev. 17(4):17-20.
- KWAIN, W., and J. A. CHAPPEL.
1978. First evidence for even-year spawning pink salmon, (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*), in Lake Superior, J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 35(10):1373-1376.
- KWAIN, W., and A. H. LAWRIE.
1981. Pink salmon in the Great Lakes. Fisheries (Bethesda) 6(2):2-6.
- LACHNER, E. A., C. R. ROBINS, and W. R. COURTENAY, JR.
1970. Exotic fishes and other aquatic organisms introduced into North America. Smithson. Contrib. Zool. 59, Smithsonian Institution Press, Wash., D. C. 29 pp.
- LAMSA, A. K., C. M. ROVAINEN, D. P. KOLENOSKY, and L. H. HANSON.
1980. Sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*) control-where to from here? Report of the SLIS [Sea Lamprey International Symposium] Control Theory Task Force. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 37(11):2175-2192.
- LARK, J. G. I.
1973. An early record of the sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*) from Lake Ontario. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 30(1):131-133.
- LARSEN, A.
1954. First record of the white perch (*Monrone americana*) in Lake Erie. Copeia 1954(2): 154.
- LATTA, W. C.
1974. A history of the introduction of fishes into Michigan. Pages 83-96 in Michigan fisheries centennial report, 1873-1973. Mich. Dep. Nat. Resour., Fish. Manage. Rep. 6.
- LAWRIE, A. H.
1970. The sea lamprey in the Great Lakes. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 99(4):766775.
- LAWRIE, A. H., and J. F. RAHRER.
1973. Lake Superior-A case history of the lake and its fisheries. Great Lakes Fish. Comm., Tech. Rep. 19. 69 pp.
- LEONARD, J. R.
1979a. The fish car era. U. S. Fish Wildl. Serv., U. S. Gov. Print. Off., Wash., D. C.
1979b. The fish car era, Parts I-III. Fish and Wildlife News, February, March, and April-May 1979 issues. U. S. Fish Wildl. Serv.
1939. The Montana grayling in Michigan. Mich. Conserv. 8(9):8.
- MACCRIMMON, H. R., and B. L. GOTS.
1972. Rainbow trout in the Great Lakes. Ont. Ministry Nat. Resour., Sport Fish. Br. 66 pp.
- MACCRIMMON, H. R., and T. L. MARSHALL.
1968. World distribution of brown trout, *Salmo trutta*. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 25(12):2527-2548.
- MACCRIMMON, H. R., T. L. MARSHALL, and B. L. GOTS.
1970. World distribution of brown trout. *Salmo trutta*: Further observations. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 27(4):811-818.
- MACKAY, H. H.
1963. Fishes of Ontario. Ont. Dep. Lands Forests. 300 pp.
- MAINVILLE, F.
1975. Grass carp threat mounts for state. North Woods Call, Charlevoix, Mich. 22(15):5.
- MARTIN, N. V.
1967. Investigation of European fishes for introduction to Ontario waters. Ont. Dep. Lands Forests, Res. Branch, Res. Inf. Pap. (Fish.) 32. 47 pp.
- MATHER, F.
1881. Fishes which can live in both salt and fresh water. Trans. Am. Fish. Cult. Assoc. [Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.] 10:65-75.
1886. Eggs received from foreign countries at Cold Spring Harbor, New York, and retained or forwarded during the seasons 1883-84 and 1884-85. U. S. Comm. Fish, Fish. Rep. 12, Part V, Append. A:143-146.
1889. Brown trout in America. U. S. Fish Comm. Bull. 7(1887):21-22.
1893. Report of the Cold Spring Harbor Station. Pages 31-60 in Twenty-first annual report. Comm. Fish. New York.

- MCALLISTER, D. E., and E. J. CROSSMAN.
1973. A guide to the freshwater sport fishes of Canada. Ottawa Nat. Mus. Can. 89 pp.
- MCCRIMMON, H. R.
1968. Carp in Canada. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. Bull. 165. 93 pp.
- MCDONALD, M.
1884a. Report of distribution of carp, during the season of 1881-82, by the United States Fish Commission. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1881, Part 9:1121-1126.
1884b. Distribution of shad through Central Station by the United States Fish Commission in 1882. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1881, Part 10:899-902.
1885a. Report of operations at Central Station, United States Fish Commission, during 1883. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1883, Part 11:1017-1034.
1885b. Report of the division of distribution of the United States Fish Commission for the year 1883. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1883, Part X1:1051-1087.
1891. Report of the Commissioner. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1887, Part 15:I-LIX.
1893. Report of the Commissioner. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1889-91, Part 17:1-96.
1894. Report of the Commissioner. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1892, Part 18:VII-LXXXVII.
1895. Report of the Commissioner. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1893, Part 19:1-16.
- MEEHAN, W. E.
1906. Pennsylvania report of the Department of Fisheries, 1905. Harrisburg Publ. Co., Harrisburg. 246 pp.
- MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES.
1975. Unwanted fish. Mich. Nat. Resour. Mag. 44(5):30.
- MICHIGAN STATE BOARD OF FISH COMMISSIONERS.
1888. Artificial propagation and planting. Pages 18-30 in Eighth biennial report, Michigan State Board of Fish Commissioners.
1890. Fish plants, 1888-1889. Pages 167-193 in Ninth biennial report, Michigan State Board of Fish Commissioners.
1897. Fish plants, 1895-1896. Pages 7C-107 in Twelfth biennial report, Michigan State Board of Fish Commissioners.
1905. Report of the Commissioner. Pages 1-19 in Sixteenth Biennial Report, Michigan State Board of Fish Commissioners.
- MILLER, R. R.
1957. Origin and dispersal of the alewife, *Alosa pseudoharengus*, and the gizzard shad, *Dorosoma cepedianum*, in the Great Lakes. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 86(1956):97-111.
- MILNER, J. W.
1874a. Report on the propagation of the shad (*Alosa sapidissima*) and its introduction into new waters by the U. S. Fish Commissioners in 1873. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1872 and 1873, Part 2:419-451.
1874b. The progress of fish culture in the United States. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1872 and 1873, Part 2:523-558.
1874c. Notes on the grayling of North America. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1872 and 1873, Part 2:729-742.
1876. Operations in the distribution of shad in 1874. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1873-74 and 1874-75, Part 3:323-326.
1880. The propagation and distribution of shad in 1878. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1878, Part 6:611-628.
- MOFFETT, J. W.
1958. Attack on the sea lamprey. Mich. Conserv. 27(3):21-27.
- NELSON, P. R.
1973. Four thousand years of fish farming. Farm Pond Harvest 7(3):8-9.
- NICKLAS, C.
1886. Pond culture. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1884, Part 12:467-655.
- NUNAN, P. J.
1967. Pink salmon in Lake Superior. Ont. Fish Wildl. Rev. 6(3-4):9-14.

- OKADA, Y.
1966. Fishes of Japan. Uno Shoten Co., Tokyo, Japan. 458 pp.
- ONTARIO DEPARTMENT OF LANDS AND FORESTS.
1962. Pages 118-131 *in* Annual report, 1962.
1968. Pages 24-36 *in* Annual report, 1968.
- ONTARIO MINISTRY OF NATURAL RESOURCES.
1983. Lake St. Clair fisheries report-1982. Manuscript Report. Ont. Min. Nat. Resour., Chatham Dist. Off., Chatham, Ontario, Canada N7M 5L8. 2.5 pp.
- OSBURN, R. C.
1901. The fishes of Ohio. Ohio State Acad. Sci., Spec. Pap. 4. 105 pp.
- PARSONS, J. W.
1973. History of salmon in the Great Lakes, 1850-1970. U. S. Fish. Wildl. Serv. Tech. Pap. 68. 80 pp.
1974. Striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*): Distribution, abundance, biology, and propagation in North America and suitability for introduction into the Great Lakes. U. S. Fish Wildl. Serv., Great Lakes Fish. Lab., Ann Arbor, Mich., Admin. Rep., April 1974. 75 pp.
- PFLIEGER, W. L.
1975. The fishes of Missouri. Missouri Dep. Conserv., Jefferson City. 343 pp.
- POST, H.
1894. Fish culture in Michigan. U. S. Fish Comm. Bull. 1893, Vol. 13:201-211.
- QUAST, T.
1929. Goldfish industry. Dep. Commerce, Bur. Fish. Econ. Circ. 68, June 1929. 14 pp.
- RADFORTH, I.
1944. Some considerations on the distribution of fishes in Ontario. Royal Ont. Mus. Zool. Paleontol. Contrib. 25:94-95.
- RADONSKI, G. C. editor.
1983. Alien invasion successful. Sport Fish. Inst., Bull. 350:5.
- RADONSKI, G. C., N. S. PROSSER, R. G. MARTIN, and R. H. STROUD.
1981. Exotic fishes and sport fishing. Sport Fish. Inst., Bull. 329:1-2.
- RAGOTZKIE, R. A.
1974. The Great Lakes rediscovered. Am. Sci. 62(4):454-464.
- RAVENEL, W. DeC.
1898. Report on the propagation and distribution of food-fishes. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1897, Part 23:XXVIII-XC.
1900. Report on the propagation and distribution of food-fishes. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1899, Part 25:XXXV-CLXIII.
- RATHBUN, R.
1895. Report upon the inquiry respecting food-fishes and the fishing-grounds. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1893, Part 19:17-51.
- REGIER, H. A., and W. L. HARTMAN.
1973. Lake Erie's fish community: 150 years of cultural stresses. Science 180(4092): 1248-1255.
- ROBERTS, R. S.
1973. White amur (grass carp) briefing information. Bur. Sport Fish. Wildl. Bull. 12, April 1973. 3 pp.
- ROBINS, C. R., chairman.
1980. A list of common and scientific names of fishes from the United States and Canada. Am. Fish. Soc. Spec. Publ. 12. 174 pp.
- ROSENTHAL, H.
1980. implications of transplantations to aquaculture and ecosystems. U. S. Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv., Mar. Fish. Rev. 42(5):1-14.
- RUBEC, P. J., and B. W. COAD.
1974. First record of the margined madtom (*Noturus insignis*) from Canada. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 31(8):1430-1431.
- SCHMIDT, J.
1922. The breeding places of the eel. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.: 211:179-208.

- SCHULTZ, E. E.
1960. Establishment and early dispersal of a loach, *Misgurnus anguillicaudatus* (Cantor), in Michigan. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 89(4):376-377.
- SCHUMACHER, R. E., and S. EDDY.
1960. The appearance of pink salmon, *Oncorhynchus gorbuscha* (Walbaum), in Lake Superior. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 89(4):371-373.
- SCHUMACHER, R. E., and J. G. HALE.
1962. Third generation pink salmon, *Oncorhynchus gorbuscha* (Walbaum), in Lake Superior. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 91(4):421-422.
- SCOTT, W. B.
1957. Changes in the fish fauna of Ontario. Pages 19-25 in *Changes in the fauna of Ontario* (F. A. Urquhart, ed.). Royal Ont. Mus. Zool. Paleontol., Univ. Toronto Press, Toronto. 75 pp.
1963. A review of the changes in the fish fauna of Ontario. *Trans. Royal Can. Inst.* 34(2):117-125.
1967. *Freshwater fishes of eastern Canada*. 2nd ed. Univ. Toronto Press, Canada. 137 pp.
- SCOTT, W. B., and W. J. CHRISTIE.
1963. The invasion of the lower Great Lakes by the white perch, *Roccus americanus* (Gmelin). *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 20(5):1189-1195.
- SCOTT, W. B., and E. J. CROSSMAN.
1973. *Freshwater fishes of Canada*. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can. Bull.* 184. 966 pp.
- SHEPHERD, G. editor.
1985. White perch alert sounded. *North Woods Call*, Charlevoix, Mich. 32(6):4.
- SLASTENENKO, E. P.
1958. *The freshwater fishes of Canada*. Kiev Printers, Toronto, Ont., Canada. 383 pp.
- SMEDLEY, H. H.
1938. Rainbow, *Salmo irideus*. Pages 25-34 in *Trout in Michigan*. Muskegon, Mich. (Mimeo)
- SMILEY, C. W.
1884. Statistics of the shad-hatching operations conducted by the United States Fish Commission in 1881. *U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep.* 1881, Part 9:1107-1119.
- SMITH, B. R.
1979. Service still battling lamprey in Great Lakes. *U. S. Fish Wildl. Serv., Fish Wildl. News*, October-November 1979: 8,9,18.
- SMITH, B. R., and J. J. TIBBLES.
1980. Sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*) in Lakes Huron, Michigan, and Superior: History of invasion and control, 1936-1978. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 37(11):1780-1801.
- SMITH, H. M.
1892. Report on an investigation of the fisheries of Lake Ontario. *Bull. U. S. Fish. Comm.* 10(1890):177-215.
1924. Goldfish and their cultivation in America. *Natl. Geogr. Mag.* 44(4):375-400.
- SMITH, S. H.
1968a. Species succession and fishery exploitation in the Great Lakes. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 25(4):667-693.
1968b. That little pest, the alewife. *Limnos* 1(2):12-20.
1970. Species interactions of the alewife in the Great Lakes. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 99(4):754-765.
1972. Factors of ecologic succession in oligotrophic fish communities of the Laurentian Great Lakes. *J. Fish Res. Board Can.* 29(6):717-730.
- STERBA, G.
1962. *Freshwater fishes of the world*. Viking Press, New York. 878 pp. (D. W. Tucker, Transl.)
- STEWART, D. J., J. F. KITCHELL, and L. B. CROWDER.
1981. Forage fishes and their salmonid predators in Lake Michigan. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 110(6):751-763.

- STROUD, R. H.
 1975. Exotic fishes in United States waters. Sport Fish. Inst., Bull 264:1-4.
 1976. Ohio piranha. Sport Fish. Inst., Bull. 272:3.
- TAYLOR, W. R.
 1954. Records of fish in the John N. Lowe collection from the upper peninsula of Michigan. Misc. Publ. Mus. Zool. Univ. Mich. 87. 50 pp.
 1969. A revision of the catfish genus *Noturus* Rafinesque, with an analysis of higher groups in the Ictaluridae. Bull. U. S. Natl. Mus. 282. 315 pp.
- TIBBLES, J. J.
 1975. Nix lampreys as bait here. Outdoor Life 156(3):2.
- TODY, W. H., and H. A. TANNER.
 1966. Coho salmon for the Great Lakes. Mich. Dep. Conserv., Fish Manage. Rep. 1. 38 pp.
- TRAUTMAN, M. B.
 1957. The fishes of Ohio. Ohio State Univ. Press, Columbus. 633 pp.
 1981. The fishes of Ohio. Ohio State Univ. Press, Columbus. 782 pp.
- VAN METER, H. D., and M. B. TRAUTMAN.
 1970. An annotated list of the fishes of Lake Erie and its tributary waters exclusive of the Detroit River. Ohio J. Sci. 70(2):65-78.
- VAN OOSTEN, J.
 1937. The dispersal of smelt, *Osmerus mordax* (Mitchill), in the Great Lakes region. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 66(1936):160-171.
 1957. Exotics and hybrids in fish management. Proc. Assoc. Midwest Game Fish Comm.' 24: 17-22.
- VER DUIN, R., editor.
 1984a. Excitement ran high when piranha is caught in Chicago park lagoon. The Fisherman 36(2):20.
 1984b. The demise of the longjaw cisco and blue pike. The Fisherman 36(2):9,10,14.
 1984c. White perch have spread into Lake Huron and Saginaw Bay. The Fisherman 36(6): 16.
- VINCENT, R. W.
 1962. Biogeographical and ecological factors contributing to the decline of the Arctic grayling, *Thymallus arcticus* Pallas, in Michigan and Montana. Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Mich., Ann Arbor. 169 pp.
- WAGNER, W. C., and T. M. STAUFFER.
 1975. Occurrence of pink salmon in Michigan, 1963-1973. Mich. Dep. Nat. Resour., Fish. Div., Tech. Rep. 75-3. 8 pp.
 1980. Three-year-old pink salmon in Lake Superior tributaries. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 109(4):458-460.
 1982. Distribution and abundance of pink salmon in Michigan tributaries of the Great Lakes, 1967-1980. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 111(4):523-526.
- WEBSTER, D. A.
 1982. Early history of the Atlantic salmon in New York. N. Y. Fish Game J. 29(1):27-44.
- WEED, A. C.
 1927. Pike, pickerel and muskallonge (sic). Publ. Field Museum Press, Chicago, Zool. Leaff. 9: 153-204.
- WELLS, L.
 1977. Changes in yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*) populations of Lake Michigan 1954-75. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 34(10):1821-1829.
- WELLS, L., and A. L. MCLAIN.
 1973. Lake Michigan: Man's effects on native fish stocks and other biota. Great Lakes Fish. Comm. Tech. Rep. 20. 55 pp.
- WERGELAND, N.
 1880. The economic value of the Norwegian lakes and rivers as a field for fish culture. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1878, Part 6:539-604.
- WESTERMAN, F. A.
 1930. Fish division. Pages 193-233 in Fifth Biennial Report, 1929-1930, Michigan Department of Conservation.

1941. General report of the fish division. Pages 143-227 in Eleventh Biennial Report, 1941-1942, Michigan Department of Conservation.
- WESTERS, H., and T. M. STAUFFER.
1974. A history of fish culture in Michigan. Pages 107-126 in Michigan fisheries centennial report 1873-1973. Mich. Dep. Nat. Resour., Fish. Manage. Rep. 6.
- WHITAKER, H.
1887. The Michigan grayling. Pages 89-93 in Seventh biennial report, Michigan State Board of Fish Commissioners.
- WHITAKER, H., H. W. DAVIS, and F. B. DICKERSON.
1897. Report of the State Board of Fish Commissioners for 1895-1896. Pages 1-23 in Twelfth biennial report, Michigan State Board of Fish Commissioners.
- WHITE, A. M., M. B. TRAUTMAN, M. P. KELTY, E. J. FOELL, and R. GABY.
1975. Water quality baseline assessment for the Cleveland area-Lake Erie: Vol. II, The fishes of the Cleveland metropolitan area including the Lake Erie baseline. Proj. G005107, Region 5, U. S. Environ. Prot. Agency, Chicago, Ill. 181 pp.
- WORTH, S. G.
1895. Report on the propagation and distribution of food-fishes. U. S. Comm. Fish Fish. Rep. 1893, Part 19:73-138.
- WRIGHT, R. R.
1892. Preliminary report on the fish and fisheries of Ontario. Ont. Game Fish. Comm. Rep. Comm. (1891):419-476.

GREAT LAKES FISHERY COMMISSION

TECHNICAL REPORT SERIES

- No. 1. Use of 3-trifluoromethyl-4-nitrophenol as a selective sea lamprey larvicide, by *Vernon C. Applegate, John H. Howell, James W. Moffett, B. G. H. Johnson, and Manning A. Smith*. May 1961. 35 pp.
- No. 2. Fishery statistical districts of the Great Lakes, by *Stanford H. Smith, Howard J. Buettner, and Ralph Hile*. September 1961. 24 pp.
- No. 3. Commercial fish production in the Great Lakes 1867-1977, by *Norman S. Baldwin, Robert W. Saalfeld, Margaret A. Ross, and Howard J. Buettner*. September 1979. 187 pp. (Supersedes 1962 edition and 1970 supplement.)
- No. 4. Estimation of the brook and sea lamprey ammocete populations of three streams, by *Bernard R. Smith and Alberton L. McLain*. September 1962. pages 1-18.
A photoelectric amplifier as a dye detector, by *Wesley J. Ebel*. September 1962. pages 19-26.
- No. 5. Collection and analysis of commercial fishery statistics in the Great Lakes, by *Ralph Hile*. December 1962. 31 pp.
- No. 6. Limnological survey of Lake Erie 1959 and 1960, by *Alfred M. Beeton*. November 1963. 32 pp.
- No. 7. The use of alkalinity and conductivity measurements to estimate concentrations of 3-trifluoromethyl-4-nitrophenol required for treating lamprey streams, by *Richard K. Kanayama*. November 1963. 10 pp.
- No. 8. Synergism of 5,2'-dichloro-4'-nitro-salicylanilide and 3-trifluoromethyl-4-nitrophenol in a selective lamprey larvicide, by *John H. Howell, Everett L. King, Jr., Allen J. Smith, and Lee H. Hanson*. May 1964. 21 pp.
- No. 9. Detection and measurement of organic lampricide residues, by *Stacy L. Daniels, Lloyd L. Kempe, Thomas J. Billy, and Alfred M. Beeton*. 1965. 18. pp.
- No. 10. Experimental control of sea lampreys with electricity on the south shore of Lake Superior, 1953-60, by *Alberton L. McLain, Bernard R. Smith, and Harry H. Moore*. 1965. 48 pp.
- No. 11. The relation between molecular structure and biological activity among mononitrophenols containing halogens, by *Vernon C. Applegate, B. G. H. Johnson, and Manning A. Smith*. December 1966. pages 1-19.
Substituted nitrosalicylanilides: A new class of selectively toxic sea lamprey larvicides, by *Roland J. Starkey and John H. Howell*, December 1966. pages 21-29.
- No. 12. Physical limnology of Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron, by *Alfred M. Beeton, Stanford H. Smith, and Frank F. Hooper*. September 1967. 56 pp.
- No. 13. Population characteristics and physical condition of alewives, *Alosa pseudoharengus*, in a massive dieoff in Lake Michigan, 1967, by *Edward H. Brown, Jr.* December 1968. 13 pp.
- No. 14. Limnological survey of Lake Ontario, 1964 (five papers), by *Herbert E. Allen, Jerry F. Reinwand, Roann E. Ogawa, Jarl K. Hiltunen, and LaRue Wells*. April 1969. 59 pp.
- No. 15. The ecology and management of the walleye in western Lake Erie, by *Henry A. Regier, Vernon C. Applegate and Richard A. Ryder*, in collaboration with *Jerry V. Manz, Robert G. Ferguson, Harry D. Van Meter, and David R. Wolfert*. May 1969. 101 pp.
- No. 16. Biology of larval sea lampreys (*Petromyzon marinus*) of the 1960 year class, isolated in the Big Garlic River, Michigan, 1960, by *Patrick J. Manion and Alberton L. McLain*. October 1971. 35 pp.
- No. 17. New parasite records for Lake Erie fish, by *Alex O. Dechtiar*. April 1972. 20 pp.
- No. 18. Microbial degradation of the lamprey larvicide 3-trifluoromethyl-4-nitrophenol in sediment-water systems, by *Lloyd L. Kempe*. January 1973. 16 pp.
- No. 19. Lake Superior-A case history of the lake and its fisheries, by *A. H. Lawrie and Jerold F. Rahrer*. January 1973. 69 pp.
- No. 20. Lake Michigan-Man's effects on native fish stocks and other biota, by *LaRue Wells and Alberton L. McLain*. January 1973. 55 pp.

- No. 22. Effects of exploitation, environmental changes, and new species on the fish habitats and resources of Lake Erie, by W. L. Hartman. April 1973. 43 pp.
- No. 23. A review of the changes in the fish species composition of Lake Ontario, by W. J. Christie. January 1973. 65 pp.
- No. 24. Lake Opeongo - The ecology of the fish community and of man's effects on it, by N. V. Martin and F. E. J. Fry. March 1973. 34 pp.
- No. 25. Some impacts of man on Kootenay Lake and its salmonoids, by T. G. Northcote. April 1973. 45 pp.
- No. 26. Control of the sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*) in Lake Superior, 1953-70, by Bernard R. Smith, J. James Tibbles, and B. G. H. Johnson. March 1974. 60 pp.
- No. 27. Movement and recapture of parasitic-phase sea lampreys (*Petromyzon marinus*) tagged in the St. Marys River and Lakes Huron and Michigan, 1963-67, by Harry H. Moore, Frederick H. Dahl, and Aarne K. Lamsa. July 1974. 19 pp.
- No. 28. Changes in the lake trout population of southern Lake Superior in relation to the fishery, the sea lamprey, and stocking, 1950-70, by Richard L. Pycha and George R. King. July 1975. 34 pp.
- No. 29. Chemosterilization of the sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*), by Lee H. Hanson and Patrick J. Manion. July 1978. 15 pp.
- No. 30. Biology of larval and metamorphosing sea lampreys (*Petromyzon marinus*) of the 1960 year class in the Big Garlic River, Michigan, Part II, 1966-72, by Patrick J. Manion and Bernard R. Smith. October 1978. 35 pp.
- No. 31. Walleye stocks in the Great Lakes, 1800-1975; fluctuations and possible causes, by J. C. Schneider and J. H. Leach. February 1979. 51 pp.
- No. 32. Modeling the western Lake Erie walleye population: a feasibility study, by B. J. Shuter, J. F. Koonce, and H. A. Regier. April 1979. 40 pp.
- No. 33. Distribution and ecology of lampreys in the Lower Peninsula of Michigan, 1957-75, by Robert H. Morman. April 1979. 59 pp.
- No. 34. Effects of granular 2', 5-dichloro-4'-nitrosalicylanilide (Bayer 73) on benthic macroinvertebrates in a lake environment, by Philip A. Gilderhus. May 1979. pages 1-5.
Efficacy of antimycin for control of larval sea lampreys (*Petromyzon marinus*) in lentic habitats, by Philip A. Gilderhus. May 1979. pages 617.
- No. 35. Variations in growth, age at transformation, and sex ratio of sea lampreys reestablished in chemically treated tributaries of the upper Great Lakes, by Harold A. Purvis. May 1979. 36 pp.
- No. 36. Annotated list of the fishes of the Lake Ontario watershed, by E. J. Crossman and Harry D. Van Meter. December 1979. 25 pp.
- No. 37. Rehabilitating Great Lakes ecosystems, edited by George R. Francis, John J. Magnuson, Henry A. Regier and Daniel R. Talhelm. December 1979. 99 pp.
- No. 38. Green Bay in the future--a rehabilitative prospectus, edited by Hallett J. Harris, Daniel R. Talhelm, John J. Magnuson, and Anne M. Forbes. September 1982. 59 pp.
- No. 39. Minimum size limits for yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*) in western Lake Erie, by Wilbur L. Hartman, Stephen J. Nepszy, and Russell L. Scholl. March 1980. 32 pp.
- No. 40. Strategies for rehabilitation of lake trout in the Great Lakes: proceedings of a conference on lake trout research, August 1983, edited by Randy L. Eshenroder, Thomas P. Poe, and Charles H. Olver. August 1984. 63 pp.
- No. 41. Overfishing or pollution? case history of a controversy on the Great Lakes, by Frank N. Egerton. January 1985. 28 pp.
- No. 42. Movement and capture of sea lampreys (*Petromyzon marinus*) marked in northern Lake Huron, 1981-82, by John W. Heinrich, William C. Anderson, and Susan D. Oja. February 1985. pages 1-14.
Response of spawning-phase sea lampreys (*Petromyzon marinus*) to a lighted trap, by Harold A. Purvis, Clarence L. Chudy, Everett L. King, Jr. and Verdel K. Dawson. February 1985. pages 15-25.
- No. 43. A prospectus for the management of the Loing Point ecosystem, by George R. Francis, A. P. Lino Grima, Henry A. Regier, and Thomas H. Whillans. March 1985. 109 pp.

